Projection Methods for Generalized Eigenvalue Problems

Christoph Conrads http://christoph-conrads.name

Fachgebiet Numerische Mathematik Institut für Mathematik Technische Universität Berlin

Feb 4, 2016



《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 三臣

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Assessing Solution Accuracy

3 GEP Solvers

- **4** Projection Methods for Large, Sparse Generalized Eigenvalue Problems
- **6** Conclusion

► < ∃ ►</p>

Outline

1 Introduction

Assessing Solution Accuracy

3 GEP Solvers

4 Projection Methods for Large, Sparse Generalized Eigenvalue Problems

G Conclusion

A (1) > A (1) > A

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem (GEP)

Definition

Let $K, M \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$. Finding $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

 $Kx = \lambda Mx$

is called a generalized eigenvalue problem. K is called stiffness matrix, M is called mass matrix. (λ, x) is called an eigenpair.

Matrix Properties

- K, M arise from finite element discretization
- K, M Hermitian positive semidefinite (HPSD)
- *M* may be diagonal

Solution Properties Regular matrix pencils, HPSD matrices

- The matrices can be simultaneously diagonalized by a non-unitary congruence transformation
- $0 \le \lambda \le \infty$

► < ∃ ►</p>

Singular Matrix Pencils Example

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $(K \lambda M)e_2 = 0$ has a solution for all values of λ
- (K, M) is called singular

A (1) > A (1) > A

Outline

Introduction

- **2** Assessing Solution Accuracy
- GEP Solvers

④ Projection Methods for Large, Sparse Generalized Eigenvalue Problems

G Conclusion

< A > < E

Requirements for Practical Accuracy Measures

- Can be calculated numerically stable
- Quickly computable
- Structure preserving
- Computes relative errors

Requirements for Practical Accuracy Measures

- Can be calculated numerically stable
- Quickly computable
- Structure preserving
- Computes relative errors

Definition (Adhikari, Alam, and Kressner, 2011)

Let $K, M \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$, let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\omega > 0$, let P(t) = K - tM. We define the matrix polynomial norm $||P||_{\omega,p,q}$ as follows:

$$\|P\|_{\omega,p,q} \coloneqq \|[1/\omega_1\|K\|_p, 1/\omega_2\|M\|_p]\|_q.$$

• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Structured Backward Error for Hermitian GEPs Definition

Definition

Let $\Delta K, \Delta M \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ be perturbations of square matrices K and M, respectively. Then we define the corresponding polynomial ΔP as

 $\Delta P(t) \coloneqq \Delta K - t \Delta M.$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Structured Backward Error for Hermitian GEPs Definition

Definition

Let $\Delta K, \Delta M \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ be perturbations of square matrices K and M, respectively. Then we define the corresponding polynomial ΔP as

$$\Delta P(t) \coloneqq \Delta K - t \Delta M.$$

Definition

Let (λ, \tilde{x}) be an approximate eigenpair of the Hermitian matrix pencil (K, M). Then the *structured* backward error of (λ, \tilde{x}) is defined as

$$\eta^{H}_{\omega,p,q}(\widetilde{\lambda},\widetilde{x}) \coloneqq \min\{\|\Delta P\|_{\omega,p,q} : P(\widetilde{\lambda})\widetilde{x} + \Delta P(\widetilde{\lambda})\widetilde{x} = 0, \, \Delta P = \Delta P^*\}.$$

Structured Backward Error for Hermitian GEPs Calculation

Theorem (Adhikari and Alam, 2011, Theorem 3.10)

Let $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{x})$ be an approximate eigenpair of the Hermitian matrix pencil (K, M), where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is real finite and $\|\tilde{x}\|_2 = 1$. Let $r = K\tilde{x} - \tilde{\lambda}M\tilde{x}$, let $\omega_{rel} = [\|K\|_F, \|M\|_F]$. Then

$$\eta_{\omega_{rel},F,2}^{H}(\widetilde{\lambda},\widetilde{x}) = \min \left\| \left[\frac{\|\Delta K\|_{F}}{\|K\|_{F}}, \frac{\|\Delta M\|_{F}}{\|M\|_{F}} \right] \right\|_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |r^{*}\widetilde{x}|^{2}}{\|K\|_{F}^{2} + |\widetilde{\lambda}|^{2}\|M\|_{F}^{2}}},$$

where $(K + \Delta K)\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{\lambda}(M + \Delta M)\widetilde{x}.$

N

Outline

Introduction

Assessing Solution Accuracy

3 GEP Solvers

4 Projection Methods for Large, Sparse Generalized Eigenvalue Problems

G Conclusion

э

A (1) > A (1) > A

Solvers for GEPs with HPSD Matrices Standard Eigenvalue Problem (SEP) Reduction (SR)

K Hermitian, *M* HPD:

• Compute Cholesky decomposition *LL*^{*} := *M*

• Solve
$$L^{-1}KL^{-*}x_L = \lambda x_L$$

• Revert basis change: $x := L^{-T} x_L$

Solvers for GEPs with HPSD Matrices SEP Reduction with Deflation (SR+D)

K Hermitian, *M* HPSD:

- Deflate infinite eigenvalues from matrix pencil
- Apply SEP reduction to deflated pencil

The Generalized Singular Value Decomposition (GSVD)

Definition (MC, §6.1.6, Bai, 1992, §2)

Let $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge r$, let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n,r}$. Then there are unitary matrices $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{r,r}$, nonnegative diagonal matrices $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n,r}$, and an upper-triangular matrix $R \in \mathbb{C}^{r,r}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & R \end{bmatrix} Q^*.$$

It holds that

$$\Sigma_{1} = \stackrel{r}{\underset{n-r}{r}} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ C \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma_{2} = \stackrel{r}{\underset{n-r}{r}} \begin{bmatrix} S \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $C^2 + S^2 = I_r$. If A and B are real, then all matrices may be taken to be real.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Theorem (Bai, 1992, §4.2, §4.3) Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$, let rank $[A^*, B^*] = n$, let $\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} RQ^*$$

be the GSVD of (A, B) and let $QR^{-*} = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. Then we solved implicitly the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$A^*Ax_i = \lambda_i B^*Bx_i,$$

where $\lambda_i = c_{ii}^2/s_{ii}^2$, i = 1, 2, ..., n. If A and B are real, then all matrices can be taken to be real. Note (∞, x) is an eigenpair of (A^*A, B^*B) iff (0, x) is an eigenpair of (B^*B, A^*A) .

Solvers for GEPs with HPSD Matrices $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GSVD}}$ Reduction

- Compute A such that $K = A^*A$
- Compute B such that $M = B^*B$
- Compute GSVD of (A, B)
 - Compute GSVD directly, or
 - use QR factorizations and a CS decomposition (QR+CSD)
- Compute eigenpairs

Solvers for GEPs with HPSD Matrices Properties

Solver	QZ	SR	SR+D	GSVD
Backward stable	\checkmark		(✔)	\checkmark
Computes eigenvectors		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Preserves symmetry		\checkmark	\checkmark	1
Preserves definiteness		(✔)	(✔)	1
Handles singular pencils	1	. ,	(√)	1
(K, M), (M, K) equivalent	1		. ,	\checkmark

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Solvers for GEPs with HPSD Matrices

Performance Profile (Single Precision)



Christoph Conrads (TUB)

Feb 4, 2016 20 / 33

Outline

Introduction

Assessing Solution Accuracy

GEP Solvers

4 Projection Methods for Large, Sparse Generalized Eigenvalue Problems

G Conclusion

Christoph Conrads (TUB)

Definition (Saad, 2011, §4.3)

Given a subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, an orthogonal *projection method* for an eigenvalue problem tries to approximate an eigenpair $(\widetilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{x})$ so that $\widetilde{x} \in S$ and $K\widetilde{x} - \widetilde{\lambda}M\widetilde{x} \perp S$ for some given inner product in which orthogonality is defined.

A Multilevel Eigensolver

Assumptions

- The user seeks eigenpairs (in contrast to eigenvalues),
- mass and stiffness matrix are given explicitly,
- mass and stiffness matrix are HPSD,
- the matrix pencil is regular, and
- GEPs on the block diagonal deliver good approximations to the eigenpairs.

A Multilevel Eigensolver Idea

Recursively decompose the GEP into many small GEPs

э

A B A B A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

A Multilevel Eigensolver

Step 1: Partitioning



Minimize weight of off-diagonal entries (graph bisection)

A Multilevel Eigensolver Step 2: Recursion



Compute eigenpair approximations in block diagonal GEPs

A Multilevel Eigensolver

Step 3: Iterative Improvement



Improve eigenpair approximations

Outline

Introduction

- Assessing Solution Accuracy
- **3** GEP Solvers

④ Projection Methods for Large, Sparse Generalized Eigenvalue Problems

6 Conclusion

э

A (1) > A (1) > A

Additional Thesis Topics

- Singular matrix pencils
- A new fast and stable GEP solver for HPSD matrices
- Improving numerical stability
- Numerical experiments with multilevel eigensolver (TODO)

Conclusion

- Structured backward errors can be computed quickly for GEPs with Hermitian matrices
- GSVD-based solvers are fast and robust in practice
- In our tests the more robust the GEP solver, the slower the GEP solver

Thank you for your attention. Questions?

э

References I

- Adhikari, B. and R. Alam (2011). "On backward errors of structured polynomial eigenproblems solved by structure preserving linearizations". In: Linear Algebra and its Applications 434.9, pp. 1989–2017. ISSN: 0024-3795. DOI: 10.1016/j.laa.2010.12.014.
- Adhikari, B., R. Alam, and D. Kressner (2011). "Structured eigenvalue condition numbers and linearizations for matrix polynomials". In: *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 435.9, pp. 2193–2221. ISSN: 0024-3795. DOI: 10.1016/j.laa.2011.04.020.
- Bai, Z. (1992). The CSD, GSVD, Their Applications and Computations. IMA Preprint Series 958. Minneapolis, MN, USA: University of Minnesota. HDL: 11299/1875.
- Dolan, E. D. and J. J. Moré (2002). "Benchmarking optimization software with performance profiles". In: Mathematical Programming 91.2, pp. 201–213. ISSN: 0025-5610. DOI: 10.1007/s101070100263.
- Golub, G. H. and C. F. Van Loan (2012). Matrix Computations. 4th ed. Baltimore, MD, USA: Johns Hopkins University Press. ISBN: 978-1-4214-0794-4.
- Higham, N. J. (2002). Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. ISBN: 978-0-89871-521-7. DOI: 10.1137/1.9780898718027.
- Mehrmann, V. and H. Xu (2015). "Structure preserving deflation of infinite eigenvalues in structured pencils". In: Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis 44, pp. 1–24. ISSN: 1068-9613. URL: http://etna.mcs.kent.edu/volumes/2011-2020/vol44/.
- Nakatsukasa, Y. (2012). "On the condition numbers of a multiple eigenvalue of a generalized eigenvalue problem". In: Numerische Mathematik 121.3, pp. 531–544. ISSN: 0029-599X. DOI: 10.1007/s00211-011-0440-x.

Feb 4, 2016 32 / 33

(日) (周) (日) (日)

References II

- Saad, Y. (2011). Numerical Methods for Large Eigenvalue Problems. Revised Edition. Classics in Applied Mathematics 66. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. ISBN: 978-1-61197-072-2. DOI: 10.1137/1.9781611970739.
- Stathopoulos, A. (2005). Locking issues for finding a large number of eigenvectors of hermitian matrices. Tech. rep. WM-CS-2005-09. Revised June 2006. Williamsburg, VA, USA: College of William & Mary.

э

(日) (同) (日) (日)